



Neighbourhood Priority Profile

LPA / Neighbourhood:	Kents Hill and Monkston
Description of Problem:	Parking
Owner:	NAG

		Not Resolved / Revisited		
		Date Completed	Date Completed	Date Completed
Scanning	What Problem Has Been Identified?	21/07/08		
	Information Gathering Actions			
Analysis	Characteristics of the Problem			
	Underlying Causes			
	Previous Responses			
Response	Consider Options			
	Evaluate Options and Monitor Response			
Assessment	Assessment of Responses			

(See Note 1)

Notes for guidance on the completion of this profile can be found on the Neighbourhood Policing website

SCANNING

This stage represents the initial enquiries into the problem

What Problem Has Been Identified

SCANNING STAGE

What is the problem that has been identified and what evidence is there?
(See Note 2)

Parking problems across the Parish area.

Information Gathering Actions

SCANNING STAGE

Do you require any further information? Yes
(See Note 3)

Action	Owner	Date Completed
Ward Councillors to gather together reports of evidence received over the past year		
Evidence to be compiled from the NAG questionnaires (consultation papers) and maps		
EVA to be conducted for Monkston Monkston Park Kingston and Brinklow Kents Hill		

ANALYSIS

Only move onto this stage once the scanning has been completed

Characteristics of the Problem

ANALYSIS STAGE

Describe: Who, What, Where, When, Why and How.
(See Note 4)

Who is involved?

- Local residents
- Workers (Post Office)
- HGV parking – overnight truck spots
- Multi-car households
- Delivery vehicles
- School run
- Visitors
- White vans works vehicles
- Football players and supporters (MK Wanderers and MK City)
- Church goers
- Dog walkers (Monkston Park)
- Caravan owners
- Allotment holders

What is happening?

Parking on

- Pavement
- Verge
- Raised speed tables
- In residents' visitors' bays
- Left vehicles/abandonment
- Parking outside school
- Brinklow – verge parking
- Football tournaments
- Blocking driveways
- Redway parking
- Narrow roads (min. government specification)
- Small scale garage
- Parking spaces (1.5 cars)
- Planning policy and parking policies
- Lack of public transport
- Obstruction/visibility (dangerous)

Where is happening?**When is it happening?****Why is it happening?****How is it happening?**

Underlying Causes of the Problem

ANALYSIS STAGE

Use the Problem Analysis Triangle – which considers the features of the victim, offender and location.
(See Note 5)

Previous Responses	ANALYSIS STAGE
What responses have been adopted before? (See Note 6)	

RESPONSE

This stage should only be reached once the analysis is complete

Consider Options		RESPONSE
STAGE		
<p>List all possible options and whom you are targeting (victim, offender or location), and then choose the options for evaluation. (See Note 7)</p>		
Options	Targeting (V, O, L)	Date Option Chosen

When all the options have been listed choose the top 4 to take forward to the response stage for evaluation.

Evaluate the Option and Monitor Response	RESPONSE STAGE
Any suitable options need to be evaluated by going through the questions below. (See Note 8)	

OPTION 1
What is the specific problem being targeted: Parking
What response is being suggested?
What is the objective of this? To take a number of actions in a time-defined period to tackle rubbish through clearance, education and communication thus reducing the problem over time
How will success be measured?
What resources are needed?
What are the advantages / disadvantages of this option?
Who would own this response? (named individual)

ACTION SHEET (See Note 9)					
No.	Action	Owner	Date	EPIC	RAG
	NAG meeting 16/02/09	See below	16/02/09		
<p>Proposed footway and verge parking order. Deadline for response is 13th March. Amanda to add this to the Parish Council agenda for 9th March. The group would need to identify areas where the parking order should be introduced. It was suggested that a walk around the area with MKC would be ideal. Amanda to look through the EVAs and check whether there are locations which stand out as needing attention. The group agreed that Blanchland Circle and the Monkston Park green should certainly be included and Easby Grove should be exempt from this order.</p> <p>It was agreed that members should send suggestions of locations for enforcement to Amanda by 6th March at the latest.</p> <p>Action: Amanda Wilmot to add this to the agenda for 9th March meeting.</p> <p>Action: Amanda Wilmot to work through the EVAs to identify suitable locations.</p> <p>Action: Members to send any suggested locations for enforcement to Amanda by 6th March.</p>					

<p>Parking and byelaws – Tim reported that the byelaws (1956) have not been enforced – they can only be enforced if there is signage to inform residents discussed. The group agreed that the proposed footway and verge parking order item (above) would supersede the need to implement the byelaws.</p> <p>Grass crete trial in Easby Grove and Lindisfarne Drive. Claire Hutley to report how the pilots have been working. Action: Claire Hutley to report on the results of the trial.</p> <p>Email from resident of Waltham Drive. It was agreed that Amanda should respond to the resident (Mr Blackmore) and advise that there are a number of initiatives under discussion, including verge parking enforcement. This could potentially be a location for inclusion in the enforcement area. Action: Amanda Wilmot to write to Mr Blackmore.</p> <p>Flaxley Gate – there is potentially a problem on Flaxley Gate (where it meets Chaffron Way) as there has been vehicles parked on this stretch of road causing an obstruction. Amanda to contact Paul Harrison, MKC, for a traffic safety officer visit. Graham has photographs of this obstruction and will send them to Amanda. Action: Graham Clark to send photos of obstruction to Amanda. Action: Amanda Wilmot to contact Paul Harrison regarding the obstruction.</p> <p>Claire Hutley advised that Millbank Place and Wilsey Pound had not yet been investigated as the team member is currently on leave. Carried forward to next meeting. Action: Claire Hutley’s team to look at the parking problems in Millbank Place and Willsley Pound.</p>				
NAG meeting 16/03/09		See below	16/03/09	
<p>Parking trial in Easby Grove. Claire Hutley will report on the findings when they are available. Action: Claire Hutley to report findings of parking trial.</p>				
NAG meeting 18/05/09		See below	18/05/09	
<p>The findings from the Easby Grove parking trial will be available at the July meeting. Action: Claire to present the findings at the July meeting.</p> <p>Amanda reported the findings from the Parking Partnership Fund preliminary report, i.e. that potentially 18 parking bays could be sited around the Blanchland Circle area, each bay would cost £3,800. Amanda reported that the Parish Council has resolved not to go ahead due to the high cost/limited value of such a project.</p> <p>Parking signs at football matches. It was reported that at recent football matches held Monkston signs have been displayed to encourage visitors to park at the school. The group agreed that this was good practice and the results have been positive.</p>				

	<p>Parking on verges and the proposed Verge and Pavement Parking Order. Claire to follow up with Paul Harrison regarding the progress of this. Action: Claire to follow up.</p> <p>Police pavement parking issues. The TVP accepted ruling is that if a car is parked with two wheels on the pavement, allowing pedestrians with a double buggy/mobility scooter to pass then the parking is OK.</p> <p>Robert reported that the parking leaflet has been distributed in Monkston Park and it will be interesting to track the impact of this information on the parking behaviour in the area.</p> <p>Parking on a chicane. A situation was described to Julie and she agreed to visit the owner of the vehicle to give a warning and advice of correct parking. Members discussed other areas where obstructions are regularly seen. It was agreed that if the parking advice is not followed parking tickets will be issued. Action: Julie to pursue.</p>			
	1.			

Evaluate the Option and Monitor Response	RESPONSE STAGE
Any suitable options need to be evaluated by going through the questions below. (See Note 8)	

OPTION 2
What is the specific problem being targeted:
What response is being suggested?
What is the objective of this?
How will success be measured?
What resources are needed?
What are the advantages / disadvantages of this option?
Who would own this response? (named individual)

ACTION SHEET (See Note 9)					
No.	Action	Owner	Date	EPIC	RAG
	1.				
4	Updates:				

Evaluate the Option and Monitor Response	RESPONSE STAGE
Any suitable options need to be evaluated by going through the questions below. (See Note 8)	

OPTION 3
What is the specific problem being targeted:
What response is being suggested?
What is the objective of this?
How will success be measured?
What resources are needed?
What are the advantages / disadvantages of this option?
Who would own this response? (named individual)

ACTION SHEET (See Note 9)					
No.	Action	Owner	Date	EPIC	RAG
1					
	Updates:				

Evaluate the Option and Monitor Response	RESPONSE STAGE
Any suitable options need to be evaluated by going through the questions below. (See Note 8)	

OPTION 4
What is the specific problem being targeted:
What response is being suggested?
What is the objective of this?
How will success be measured?
What resources are needed?
What are the advantages / disadvantages of this option?
Who would own this response? (named individual)

ACTION SHEET (See Note 9)					
No.	Action	Owner	Date	EPIC	RAG
1					
	Updates:				
2					
	Updates:				
3					
	Updates:				

4					
	Updates:				

ASSESSMENT

The assessment is a crucial part of the process and may be used for future profiles on this problem or other similar problems

Assessment of Responses

ASSESSMENT STAGE

When all responses are completed, the profile should be assessed below.
(See Note 10)

Does the problem still exist or has the problem reduced?

Can this outcome be attributed directly to the overall response?

Does the problem require further attention? Should the SARA process be repeated?

Are there any future/additional considerations?